

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,859	09/22/2003	Konrad Basler	Q-77377	4459	
SUGHRUE MI	7590 02/21/2007 [ON. PLL.C.	EXAMINER			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			CARLSON, KAREN C		
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4.00	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/664,859	BASLER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.		1656		

		March Cochia	ine Canson, Fil.D.	1000			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence add	iress		
THE REI	PLY FILED <u>24 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
this pla (3)	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) 📙	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rej	ection.				
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	nan SIX MONTHS). ONLY CHECK E f).	from the mailing date of BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
been filed CFR 1.17(above, if c earned par	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st hecked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month cent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	and the correspond tatutory period for r	ding amount of the fee. eply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
the	e Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 January 2007</u> . A both date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), beal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repositions.	, or any extensi	on thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the		
(a) (b)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and ow);	d/or search (see NC	OTE below);			
	∑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or ∑ They present additional claims without canceling a				the issues for		
()	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			•			
processing.	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attach	• •	compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).		
the	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		·		-		
hov The Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) of the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: sim(s) allowed: sim(s) objected to: 62. sim(s) rejected: 61 and 63-66. sim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			vill be entered and an	explanation of		
	<u>IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar d was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			- ·			
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> re	jections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a		
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the secons of the second of the secons of the secons of the second	on of the status	of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.		
11. 🔲 T	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT pla	ace the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 61 has been amended to include reference to SEQ ID NO: 3 and NO;5. Note that these sequences were not searched in prosecuting this application. Indeed, it appears that these sequences are the sequences claimed in parent USP 7,049,290 as parts of SEQ ID NO: 15. Thus, if enterred, Obvious type double patenting issues would be raised and a new search of SEQ ID NO: 3 and NO: 5 with the 90% identity limitations may result in new art rejections. Removal of these sequences from the claims in this application would be helpful.

Also, Claim 61ii does not over come Ventner.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER